

Discussion Questions for “Measuring Performance”

1. Using Big-Oh notation, if we declare that an algorithm that takes 100,000,000 instructions to execute, no matter how big the input data size is, and call it $O(1)$, and then compare that to another algorithm which takes 12 instructions for each input, so if the input size is n , it takes $12n$ instructions, and so is $O(n)$, then we say the $O(1)$ algorithm is “much faster” than the $O(n)$ algorithm. However, for any practical input size data, the $O(n)$ algorithm executes a lot fewer instructions than the $O(1)$ algorithm. Is this fair? Does this example shed any light on the accuracy of the Big-Oh notation?
2. If I have an algorithm that, for n inputs, executes $c_2n^2 + c_1n + c_0$ instructions where all the c 's are constants, what is the order of that algorithm?
3. I knew someone in college who invented a sort algorithm than ran faster than $O(n \log n)$. Why isn't he famous?
4. Why do academics have a different attitude about scalability than industry professionals? What can be done to sensitize academics about scalability issues?